

Multimodal Literacy in the Digital-Aged Education

Nguyen Tien Dung¹, Nguyen Ngoc Lan²

^{1,2} Hanoi University, Vietnam

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20155964>

Published Date: 13-May-2026

Abstract: Multimodal literacy has emerged as a cornerstone in contemporary digital-aged education in response to the increasing complexity of communication in digital and pedagogical contexts. As meaning is now constructed through the interaction of various modes: linguistic, visual, auditory, spatial, and gestural resources, literacy can no longer be limited to the decoding and production of printed texts alone. This study examines the concept of multimodal literacy, its theoretical foundations, and its growing significance in educational practice. Based on the scholarship in multimodality, social semiotics, and literacy studies, the article argues that multimodal literacy involves the ability to interpret, respond to, and produce meaning across multiple modes and media. The findings show how digital technologies and changing communication practices have reshaped educational expectations, requiring learners to become active meaning-makers in multimodal environments. The article also highlights the pedagogical implications of multimodal literacy for classroom instruction, curriculum design, and learner agency, and affirms that multimodal literacy should be regarded as a core educational objective in the digital- aged education context.

Keywords: Multimodal literacy; multimodality; social semiotics; literacy education; digital communication.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary education, literacy is no longer understood solely as the ability to read and write alphabetic text. The increasing presence of digital media, visual communication, and interactive technologies has transformed how individuals encounter, interpret, and produce meaning (Kress, 2003). Learners now engage with texts that combine written language with image, sound, gesture, movement, and spatial design. As a result, traditional language-centered views of literacy are no longer sufficient for explaining the full range of communicative practices that shape learning in modern classrooms.

This shift has led to growing scholarly interest in multimodal literacy, a concept that reflects the ability to make meaning through multiple semiotic resources rather than through language alone (Jewitt, 2008). Multimodal literacy responds to the realities of contemporary communication, where messages are rarely conveyed in a single mode and where understanding depends on the coordination of diverse representational forms. In educational settings, this development has significant implications for how literacy is taught, how teaching materials are designed, and how students are prepared to participate in academic, social, and digital contexts (Walsh, 2010).

This article examines the concept of multimodal literacy and its relevance to contemporary digital-aged education. It discusses the nature of multimodality and the changing understanding of literacy in the digital age. It then explores the conceptualization of multimodal literacy, reviews its educational significance, and considers its implications for pedagogy and learner development. Through this discussion, the article argues that multimodal literacy has become an essential component of effective teaching and learning in the twenty-first century.

II. CONTENT

2.1. The Nature of Multimodality in Education

Multimodality has become a defining feature of communication in contemporary educational contexts. Rather than relying exclusively on written or spoken language, communication increasingly draws on the interaction of multiple semiotic resources, including visual images, sound, gesture, movement, layout, and digital interface (Smith et al., 2013). Meaning is therefore constructed through the orchestration of these modes, each of which contributes distinct yet interconnected functions to the communicative act. From this perspective, language is part of a “multimodal ensemble” (Jewitt, 2009, 14).

In education, the importance of multimodality lies in the fact that learning itself is inherently multimodal. Students do not encounter knowledge solely through verbal explanation or printed text; they also engage with diagrams, videos, gestures, classroom displays, slides, icons, animations, and digital platforms (Jewitt, 2013). The rapid development of technology has intensified this shift by expanding the forms through which knowledge is represented and accessed. Educational practice, therefore, must account for the fact that comprehension, participation, and expression increasingly depend on learners' ability to navigate and interpret multimodal texts (O'Halloran, 2004).

This transformation has changed the broader notion of literacy, accordingly literacy can no longer be treated as a narrowly linguistic competence detached from media, design, and digital culture, but be viewed through a comprehensive lens that encompasses both alphabetic and multimodal forms (Kress, 2003; Tan et al., 2023). Such a view reflects the realities of twenty-first-century communication and underscores the need for educational frameworks that prepare learners to interpret and produce meaning in multimodal environments.

2.2. Understanding Multimodal Literacy

Multimodal literacy refers to the ability to interpret, respond to, and create meaning through the coordinated use of different semiotic modes and media (O'Halloran & Lim, 2011; Kustini, 2023). It involves more than technical familiarity with digital tools or visual materials; rather, it requires awareness of how modes function individually and in combination to shape meaning (Mills, 2016). A multi-modally literate learner is able to understand how language, image, sound, gesture, and design contribute to communication and is capable of using these resources strategically in different contexts.

This concept is grounded in the recognition that communication is fundamentally multimodal. Meaning is conveyed not only through words but also through facial expression, silence, movement, visual emphasis, spatial arrangement, and other semiotic choices (Ibrahim & Sulaiman, 2020). Multimodal literacy therefore expands the scope of literacy from reading and writing text to engaging with complex ensembles of meaning. It also highlights the interpretive and productive dimensions of literacy, as learners are expected not only to consume multimodal texts but also to design them.

Within educational contexts, multimodal literacy enables students to participate more effectively in communication practices that characterize contemporary academic and social life. It supports their capacity to analyze how texts persuade, inform, and represent ideas across modes, while also enhancing their ability to express knowledge through presentations, videos, digital compositions, visual essays, and other multimodal forms. In this sense, multimodal literacy is both an analytical competence and a creative one.

2.3. Multimodal Literacy and Contemporary Education

The rise of multimodal literacy is closely linked to broader transformations in education, technology, and culture. Digitalization has changed not only the tools available for teaching and learning but also the very forms of knowledge representation. Students increasingly learn through online platforms, multimedia resources, social media, interactive software, and audiovisual texts (Hines, 2014). These environments demand skills that go beyond conventional reading and writing, requiring learners to interpret layered and dynamic forms of communication.

In response, education has increasingly recognized multimodal literacy as an essential component of the twenty-first-century learning, being associated with higher-order thinking, creativity, communication, and learner agency (Lim, 2018; Nouri, 2019). Students who develop multimodal literacy are better positioned to understand how meanings are designed, how different modes influence interpretation, and how communication can be adapted to different audiences and purposes (Tan et al., 2023). This makes multimodal literacy especially important not only in language education but across disciplines where visual, digital, and interactive texts are prevalent.

Furthermore, multimodal literacy strengthens learner agency by positioning students as active meaning-makers rather than passive recipients of information (Benson, 2001). In multimodal learning environments, students are often required to select, combine, and transform modes to represent their understanding. This process encourages decision-making, reflection, and creativity, thereby supporting a more participatory model of learning. Multimodal literacy thus aligns with educational approaches that emphasize student-centered learning and authentic communication (Tan et al., 2023).

2.4. Pedagogical Implications of Multimodal Literacy

The growing importance of multimodal literacy carries significant implications for pedagogy. If literacy now involves the interpretation and production of multimodal meaning, then teaching practices must expand beyond traditional text-based instruction (Walsh, 2010). Educators need to design learning experiences that help students analyze how different modes work together and develop the ability to communicate effectively across a range of media. This requires explicit attention to visual design, digital composition, audio-visual interpretation, and the rhetorical functions of multimodal choices (Kresh, 2010).

Classroom instruction should, therefore, provide learners with guided opportunities to engage with multimodal texts critically and creatively. Students need support in understanding how images complement written language, how layout directs attention, how sound shapes interpretation, and how digital interfaces influence meaning. Such instructions do not imply exposure to multimedia automatically leads to multimodal competence, but learners should require scaffolding to develop the analytical awareness and productive skills associated with multimodal literacy (Lim, 2018).

The implications also extend to curriculum and assessment. If multimodal literacy is treated as a core educational objective, then curricula should include learning outcomes that address multimodal comprehension and production. Assessment practices should, likewise, recognize students' ability to communicate through diverse semiotic forms rather than relying on merely print-based output (Jewitt, 2008). In this way, multimodal literacy can be integrated more meaningfully into educational policy and practice.

2.5. Challenges and Future Directions

In spite of its ever-increasing relevance, the multimodal literacy integration in education is not without challenges one of which is the persistence of traditional conceptions of literacy, continuing to privilege written language over other modes of communication. As a result, multimodal practices might be undervalued in curricula, classroom instruction, and assessment frameworks. Teachers may also face practical constraints, including limited training, insufficient resources, and uncertainty about how to evaluate multimodal work systematically (Fajriah et al., 2021).

Another challenge concerns the requirement for a clearer pedagogical framework because while multimodal literacy is widely recognized in theory, its classroom application often remains restricted. Effective multimodal literacy implementation requires not only access to digital tools but also a principled understanding of how multimodal meaning operates and how it can be taught in ways that are pedagogically purposeful (Ryan et al., 2010). Also, how multimodal literacy can be embedded more systematically in teacher education, curriculum design, and classroom practice should be taken into account (Tan et al., 2016).

As educational communication continues to evolve, multimodal literacy is likely to become more central to learners' academic and social participation. Therefore, ongoing research and pedagogical innovation should ensure that literacy education continues to be responsive to the communicative realities of the digital age.

III. CONCLUSION

Multimodal literacy has become an essential dimension of contemporary digital-aged education where meaning is increasingly constructed through the interaction of multiple semiotic modes. Learners today must be able to interpret and produce meanings through images, sound, movement, layout, and digital design. This shift requires a broader understanding of literacy that reflects the complexity of communication in modern educational and social contexts.

Multimodal literacy should not be seen as a supplementary skill but a central educational competence which allows learners to engage critically with complex multimodal texts, participate actively in contemporary communication practices, and create meaningful representations across varied media. For educators, it provides a framework for rethinking pedagogy, curriculum, and assessment to better align with the present realities of digital and multimodal communication.

As a result, fostering multimodal literacy means preparing learners not only to consume information but also to design and communicate meaning effectively in a rapidly changing digital world. For this reason, multimodal literacy should be positioned as a core objective of contemporary education and an important area for further research and pedagogical development.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdullah, F., Hidayati, A. N., Andriani, A., Silvani, D., Ruslan, Tandiana, S. T., & Lisnawati, N. (2022). Fostering students' multimodal communicative competence through genre-based multimodal text analysis. *Studies in English Language and Education*, 9(2), 632-650. <https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v9i2.23440>
- [2] Benson, P. (2001). *Teaching and researching autonomy in language learning*. Pearson Education.
- [3] Damayanti, I. L., & Febrianti, Y. (2020). Multimodal literacy: Unfolding reading path in children's picture book and its potential for EFL classrooms. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(3), 616-627. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v9i3.23212>
- [4] Fajriah, Y. N., Hamied, F. A., & Gunawan, W. (2021). Image-text relation interpretation: Teachers' visual-verbal competence in teaching texts. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 40(1), 208-217. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v40i1.33755>

- [5] Hines, S. (2014). Multimodal literacy and why it matters: A brief overview. *Against the Grain*, 26(4), 14-16. <https://doi.org/10.7771/2380-176x.6902>
- [6] Ibrahim, I., & Sulaiman, S. (2020). Semiotic communication: An approach of understanding a meaning in communication. *International Journal of Media and Communication Research*, 1, 22-31. <http://doi.org/10.25299/ijmcr.v1i1.4584>.
- [7] Jewitt, C. (2008). Multimodality and literacy in school classrooms. *Review of Research in Education*, 32(1), 241-267.
- [8] Jewitt, C. (2009) An introduction to multimodality. In Jewitt, C. (ed.) *The Routledge Handbook of Multimodal Analysis*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, New York and London.
- [9] Jewitt, C. (2013). Multimodal methods for researching digital technologies. In S. Price, C. Jewitt, & B. Brown (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of digital technology research* (pp. 250–265). SAGE Publications Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446282229.n18>
- [10] Kress, G. (2003). *Literacy in the New Media Age*. Routledge.
- [11] Kress, G. (2010). *Multimodality: A Social Semiotic Approach to Contemporary Communication*. Routledge.
- [12] Kress, G., & Van Leeuwen, T. (2006). *Reading Images: The Grammar of Visual Design*. Routledge.
- [13] Kustini, S. (2023). A project-based multi-literacies instruction to improve students' multimodal literacy. *20th AsiaTEFL-68th TEFLIN-5th INELTAL Conference (ASIA TEFL 2022)*, 632– 647.
- [14] Lim, F. V. (2018). Developing a systemic functional approach to teach multimodal literacy. *Functional Linguistics*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40554-018-0066-8>
- [15] Mills, K. A. (2016). Literacy theories for the digital age: Social, critical, multimodal, spatial, material and sensory lenses. *News Perspective on Language and Education*, 45. Multilingual Matters.
- [16] Nouri, J. (2019). Students multimodal literacy and design of learning during self-studies in higher education. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 24(4), 683-698. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-018-9360-5>
- [17] O'Halloran, K. L. (2004). *Multimodal Discourse Analysis: Systemic Functional Perspectives*. Continuum.
- [18] O'Halloran, K. L., & Lim, F. V. (2011). Dimensions of multimodal literacy. *Knowledge about Reading*, 10, 14-21.
- [19] Ryan, J., Scott, A., & Walsh, M. (2010). Pedagogy in the multimodal classroom: an analysis of the challenges and opportunities for teachers. *Teachers and Teaching*, 16(4), 477-489. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540601003754871>
- [20] Smith, B. A., O'Halloran, K. L., Podlasov, A., Lim, F. V. C. (2013). Challenges and solutions to multimodal analysis: Technology, theory and practice. In Yan, F. & Webster, J. (eds). *Developing Systemic Functional Linguistics: Theory and Application*. Equinox.
- [21] Tan, S., O'Halloran, K. L., Wignell, P. (2016). Multimodal research: Addressing the complexity of multimodal environments and the challenges for CALL. *ReCALL*, 28(3): 253-273. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344016000124>
- [22] Tan, L., Thomson, R., Koh, J. H. L., & Chik, A. (2023). Teaching multimodal literacies with digital technologies and augmented reality: A cluster analysis of Australian teachers' TPACK. *Sustainability*, 15(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310190>
- [23] Walsh, M. (2010). Multimodal literacy: What does it mean for classroom practice? *Australian Journal of Language and Literacy*, 33(3), 211-239.
- [24] Xu, Y. (2023). Investigating the effects of digital multimodal composing on Chinese EFL learners' writing performance: a quasi-experimental study. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 36(4), 785-805. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1945635>